

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/647,238 | 08/26/2003 | Masaaki Aoki | 000876A | 1909 |
| 23850 | 7590 10/14/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP | | | TRINH, MINH N | |
| 1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| WASHINGTO | WASHINGTON, DC 20006 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|---|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/647,238 | AOKI ET AL. |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | Minh Trinh | 3729 |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address |
| WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO | ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | | |
| 1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Au</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p | |
| Dienoeiti | ion of Claims | , | |
| 5)⊠ 6)□ | Claim(s) 9+11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11 is/are withdrawn from Claim(s) 9 and 10 is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | rom consideration. | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1. | epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S on is required if the drawing(s) is o | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| a)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | s have been received. s have been received in Applicatity documents have been received in Received in Received in Received in Rule 17.2(a)). | ation No. <u>09/615,729</u> . ived in this National Stage |
| Attachmen t | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summa | ury (PTO-413) |
| 2) ☐ Notic 3) ⊠ Inforr | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 8/26/03. | Paper No(s)/Mail | |

Application/Control Number: 10/647,238 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group II, claims 9-10 without traverse in the reply filed on 8/30/05 is acknowledged. Thus, claim 11 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 8/30/05.

2. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

The title:

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The following title is suggested: "Recycling Method for Magnetic field Generator" or the like.

The abstract:

The abstract should have been revised to reflect the claimed method invention.

the claims:

- a) Non-elected claim 11 should have been cancelled
- b) "the neodymium magnets" (claim 9, lines 6) should be changed to: -- the plurality of neodymium magnets --.
- * Applicants' cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the claims and/or specification.

Art Unit: 3729

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for indicating allowable subject matter: the reasons for allowance of these claims are apparently from the record in the parent case where the prior art as a whole does not teach or suggest the method as recited in details in claims 9-10 (i.e., see claim 10, lines 2-7).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited for their teachings of magnetic field generator device or the like.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4569. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

mt

10/12/05

Primary Examiner

Group 3700